

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 3724 10/771,645 Michael Edward Ross J6571(C) 02/04/2004 EXAMINER 201 7590 12/08/2004 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP FRIDIE JR, WILLMON 700 SYLVAN AVENUE, ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **BLDG C2 SOUTH** ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100 3722

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 /1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		\mathcal{M}
Office Action Summary	10/771,645	ROSS, MICHAEL	EDWARD	0 (
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Willmon Fridie	3722		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	eptember 2004.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e ments is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			•	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d)	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	d in this National	Stage	
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	-d	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not received	u.		
Attachment(s)				
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te`.	152\	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	itent Application (PTC	J-102)	

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marks in view of Moore.

Marks disclose a container assembly having a front partially transparent label (12) having graphics and a rear label (14) located directly underneath said front label with complimentary graphics thereon. Marks lack the disclosure of complimentary graphics located on the container surface directly underneath said front label. Moore teaches that it is well known in the art to use complimentary graphics (13) on a container wall. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the rear label of Marks with complimentary

Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

graphics located on the container surface directly underneath said front label in the manner as taught by Moore to reduce the cost of the assembly.

In regard to claims 3 and 4 Official Notice is taken of the use of pressure sensitive acrylic adhesive and a substrate of polypropylene. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use these claimed features since the use of such is old and well known in the art. Further there would appear to be no inventive advantage by the use of such.

In regard to claim 5, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the assembly such that the claimed removal force is needed, since applicant has not disclosed that this solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 571 272 4476. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,645 Page 4

Art Unit: 3722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 571 272 4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wf

WILLMON FOIDIE, JR. PRIMARY ELAMINER